

CMSIM Journal Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

The publication of an article in the peer-reviewed journal *Chaotic Modeling and Simulation (CMSIM Journal)* is obligatory to comply with ethical behavior standards. Therefore, all participants (authors, editors, reviewers) are expected to follow the journal's ethical guidelines. Journal's ethic statement is based on [Committee on Publication Ethics](#) (COPE).

Authors' responsibilities

Author(s) should submit original research that it has neither been published previously and the sources that are not their own have been suitably cited and/or quoted. The author(s) of a manuscript agree that their accepted for publication manuscript will be freely available without charge to the user and in accordance with the [Budapest Open Access Initiative](#) - BOAI definition of Open Access. Authorship should be limited to those have essentially contributed to the study's conception, design and execution and if author(s) discovered an error or inaccuracy in their already published article(s), it is obligatory to be declared to the publisher/editor in order to be corrected in the form of erratum.

Editors' responsibilities

Editors have the total responsibility for deciding which of the manuscripts submitted to the journal should be published with paying attention to requirements related to copyright laws and plagiarism. Editors' publications decisions will be made upon the basis of the manuscripts academic merit and without be influenced by commercial considerations or authors' gender, race, beliefs etc. An editor should ensure that unpublished material submitted to the journal remains confidential while review process and peer review is fair and unbiased.

Reviewers' responsibilities

Reviewers should respect the confidentiality of peer review process and not use unpublished information for their own advantage. Reviewers should declare any potential conflict of interest arising from collaborative or competitive relationships with authors or affiliated institutions. Reviews should be objective, timely and constructive avoiding inappropriate personal comments and not be subject to prejudices due to author's nationality, religious or political beliefs.